



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,248	10/10/2003	Wei Liu	WYE-009	5095

54623 7590 03/22/2006

KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM LLP/WYETH
STATE STREET FINANCIAL CENTER
ONE LINCOLN STREET
BOSTON, MA 02111-2950

EXAMINER

MEAH, MOHAMMAD Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1652

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/684,248	LIU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohammad Meah	1652	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

The claims 1-23 are pending in the instant office action.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-7, 13-14, drawn to DNA comprising nucleotide sequence of
SEQ ID NO: 1, Vector, Host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 194.

Group II. Claims 8-11, drawn to polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence
of SEQ ID NO: 2, classified in class 435, and subclass 194.

Group III. Claims 12-13, drawn to antibody and kits, which binds to polypeptide of
group II, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.

Group IV. Claim 15, drawn to transgenic animal encoding polypeptide comprising
an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, which binds to polypeptide of
group III, classified in class 800, subclass 13.

Group V. Claim 16, drawn to transgenic animal encoding gene comprising DNA
encoding an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, which binds to
polypeptide of group III, classified in class 800, subclass 13.

Group VI. Claim 17, drawn to screening for agents that bind protein comprising
the amino acid sequence shown in SEQ ID NO: 2. class 435, subclass 7.1.

Group VII. Claim 18, drawn to screening for agents that modulate the activity of
protein comprising the amino acid sequence shown in SEQ ID NO: 2.
class 435, subclass 7.1.

Art Unit: 1652

Group VIII, Claim 19, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition treating disease that binds and modulate the protein comprising the amino acid sequence shown in SEQ ID NO: 2. class 514, subclass 789.

Group IX, Claim 20, drawn to methods of treatment using agents that regulates protein comprising amino acid sequence shown in SEQ ID NO: 2. class 514, subclass 789.

Group X. Claims 21-22, drawn to polynucleotide comprising RNA or siRNA that inhibit human NRHK1, classified in class 536, subclass 24.5.

Group XI. Claim 23, drawn to method comprising introduction of RNA or siRNA that inhibit human NRHK1 into, a cell, classified in class 536, subclass 24.5.

Inventions of groups I, II, III, IV, V, VIII and X are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the invention each group of group I (DNA), II (protein), III (antibody), IV-V (transgenic animals) and VIII (binding or modulating agents) and X (RNA or siRNA) involve different compounds having different structures, function and utilities.

Inventions of groups I and VI-VII, IX or XI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP §

Art Unit: 1652

806.04, MPEP § 808.01).). In the instant case the invention of group I (DNA) is neither used or produced in the methods of groups VI-VII, IX and XI.

Inventions of groups II and IX and XI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01).). In the instant case the invention of group II (protein) neither used or produced in the methods of groups IX and XI.

Inventions in group II and groups VI, VII related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case products of group (protein) can be used for different process than that of processes of groups VI-VII, such as for making antibody of group III.

Inventions of groups III-V and VI-VII, IX or XI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01).). In the instant case the invention of groups III-V (antibodies) and groups IV-V (transgenic animal) are neither used or produced in the methods of groups VI-VII, IX and XI.

Inventions of groups VIII and VI-VII or XI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01).). In the instant case the invention of group VIII (pharmaceutical composition) neither used or produced in the methods of groups VI-VII and XI.

Inventions in group VIII and group IX related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case treatment of the disease of group IX can be done by other products or drug.

Inventions of groups VI, VII, IX and XI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case each methods of groups V, VII and IX involve different steps involving different products and result different outcomes.

Inventions in groups X and XI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1)

Art Unit: 1652

the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case RNA of group VIII can be used for different process such as making proteins of group III.

Inventions of groups X and VI-VII or IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01).). In the instant case the invention of group X (RNA or siRNA)) products neither used or produced in the methods of groups IX or VI or VII.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Art Unit: 1652

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Meah whose telephone number is 571-272-1261. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohammad Younus Meah, PhD

Examiner, Art Unit 1652

Recombinant Enzymes, 3C31 Remsen Bld


Application/Control Number: 10/684,248

Page 9

Art Unit: 1652

400 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: 517-272-1261


REBECCA E. PROUTY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1600
1600